Environmental Determinants and Cultural Adaptations: A Comparative Analysis of Mountain and Plain Kurds

I. Introduction

This report examines the historical distinctions between Kurdish populations traditionally associated with the mountainous terrains of Kurdistan and those inhabiting the adjacent plains and lowlands. The broadly defined geographic region known as Kurdistan, or "Land of the Kurds," encompasses a vast area characterized primarily by extensive plateau and mountain systems, stretching across parts of modern-day eastern Turkey, northern Iraq, western Iran, northern Syria, and Armenia.[1] While lacking historical political unity [1], this region represents a geo-cultural space wherein Kurdish culture, languages, and identity have historically predominated.[2]

The central premise of this analysis is that the contrasting physical environments – the rugged, high-altitude mountain ranges versus the more accessible plains and river valleys – have exerted significant influence on the development of distinct, yet interconnected, patterns of Kurdish livelihood, social organization, political dynamics, and cultural expression. The mountains, particularly the Zagros and Taurus ranges, often served as zones of refuge and fostered specific forms of pastoral economy and tribal autonomy.[1] Conversely, the plains, including parts of Upper Mesopotamia and fertile river valleys, facilitated different agricultural practices and necessitated more direct engagement with larger state structures.[5]

This report will systematically explore these differences by examining the geographical context, traditional economic adaptations, social and political structures, and cultural manifestations associated with mountain and plain environments. It draws upon diverse sources describing the historical and traditional ways of life before the profound disruptions caused by modern state formation, border imposition, and large-scale displacement altered long-standing patterns.[2] The analysis acknowledges the inherent fluidity and interdependence between these zones, particularly through the mechanism of traditional nomadism, which bridged highlands and lowlands.[1] It also recognizes the complexity introduced by modern political boundaries, which often cut across these environmental zones and have subjected Kurdish populations to varying state policies and pressures.[2] For clarity, "mountain" environments primarily refer to the Zagros and Taurus ranges [1], while "plain" environments encompass adjacent lowlands like the Mesopotamian plains (Al-Jazira), Anatolian plateau foothills, and major river valleys.[1] A comprehensive list of consulted sources is provided in the Works Cited section.

II. Geographical Context: Mountains vs. Plains in

Kurdistan

A. Defining the Terrain

Kurdistan is fundamentally characterized by its upland geography, dominated by the northwestern Zagros and the eastern Taurus mountain ranges.[1] This extensive plateau and mountain area forms the traditional homeland of the Kurds, spanning significant portions of eastern Turkey, northern Iraq, western Iran, and smaller areas of northern Syria and Armenia.[1] While the term "Kurdistan" carries different political connotations in countries like Iran and Iraq, which recognize internal administrative entities bearing the name (Kordestān province and the Kurdistan Region, respectively), it generally refers to this contiguous area of Kurdish settlement centered on these mountain systems.[1]

The mountain systems themselves define the core of this region. The Zagros Mountains run northwest-southeast through western Iran and northeastern Iraq.[1] They are characterized by parallel ridges, often separated by lowland basins or deep valleys, increasing in height towards the east where they merge with the Iranian plateau.[11] These mountains have historically served as a geographic buffer, shaping the cultural and political landscape.[3] Specific Kurdish-inhabited areas within the Zagros include Iran's Kordestān province [11] and the mountainous northern and northeastern parts of the Kurdistan Region of Iraq, bordering Iran and Turkey.[17] The Taurus Mountains dominate southeastern Anatolia (modern Turkey) and extend into northern Syria.[1] Both the Zagros and Taurus ranges are described as rugged and have historically provided refuge for Kurdish populations.[3] Ancient sources reference mountain tribes in these areas with names potentially related to "Kurd," such as the Guti or the Carduchoi who inhabited these uplands.[1]

Adjacent to and interspersed within these mountain ranges are plains, plateaus, and valleys that constitute the lowland environments inhabited by Kurds. These include the northern Mesopotamian plains, also known as Upper Mesopotamia or Al-Jazira, covering parts of northwestern Iraq and northeastern Syria.[1] This area is characterized as an outwash or alluvial plain, distinct from the Syrian desert further south, and has historically been a significant agricultural and commercial zone.[6] Other lowland areas include the foothills and steppe-like plateaus of Anatolia where the mountains give way to flatter land [5], and fertile river valleys that cut through the mountain ranges themselves, such as those formed by the tributaries of the Tigris and Euphrates (e.g., Greater Zab, Lesser Zab, Diyala, Khabur, Gāmāsiāb, Qarasu).[6] Additionally, plateau regions exist, such as the eastern part of Iran's Kordestān province, lying at elevations around 1,500 meters.[11] The climate in these lower-lying areas tends to be semi-arid continental, characterized by hot, dry summers and cold, wet winters, generally warmer and drier than the high mountains.[17]

B. Environmental Differences and Resources

The contrasting topographies of mountain and plain engender significant differences in climate, vegetation, accessibility, and resource availability. The mountain highlands typically experience a colder and wetter climate, often with heavy snowfall in winter.[19] This supports

forests dominated by species like oak, beech, and sycamore on higher slopes [11], along with a variety of alpine flowers.[11] Valleys within the mountains can support diverse fruit trees like walnut, fig, and almond.[11] In contrast, the plains and lower plateaus experience hotter summers and drier conditions overall.[17] Vegetation here transitions towards steppe, featuring xeric plants, grasses, and trees like plane, willow, and poplar along watercourses.[17] The Mesopotamian plain (Al-Jazira) is characterized as an alluvial plain suitable for grain cultivation.[6]

Accessibility is a major distinguishing factor. The ruggedness of the Zagros and Taurus ranges inherently limits movement and fosters isolation, especially during winter months when high passes may be closed.[28] This very inaccessibility, however, has historically made the mountains a crucial refuge zone, offering protection against invaders and central state control.[3] Plains and major river valleys, being flatter and often containing navigable rivers or established routes, offer greater connectivity and ease of movement.[6] This facilitated trade and communication but also made communities there more exposed to external forces.

Resource distribution also varies. Mountains provide critical summer pastures for livestock, timber from forests, and specific agricultural niches in valleys.[11] Plains and broad valleys offer larger expanses of arable land suitable for cereal cultivation and cash crops like cotton.[5] Notably, significant mineral resources, particularly oil, are concentrated in foothill and plain areas such as Kirkuk and Khaniqin in Iraq, Batman and Silvan in Turkey, and Rumeylan in Syria.[5] The control and exploitation of these resources by central governments has become a major point of contention and geopolitical significance in modern times, often heightening Kurdish grievances.[5]

The relationship between Kurdish populations and these distinct environments appears complex. While the physical landscape clearly influenced traditional ways of life – with mountains favoring pastoralism and providing refuge, and plains supporting settled agriculture – this was not a simple case of environmental determinism. Evidence of sophisticated agricultural techniques like terraced farming in mountain valleys [12], the historical presence of Kurds across diverse terrains [1], and the widespread practice of nomadic pastoralism that explicitly linked highlands and lowlands [1] all point towards active human adaptation and strategic resource utilization. The mountains functioned not just as a constraint but as a vital resource for defense and maintaining autonomy, while the plains were essential for sustenance and interaction. The traditional nomadic cycle, in particular, represents a sophisticated strategy developed over centuries to maximize the benefits offered by different ecological zones throughout the year.[2]

III. Traditional Livelihoods and Economic Adaptations

A. Mountain Adaptations

The traditional economy of Kurdish communities in the mountainous regions was heavily centered on pastoralism, particularly the herding of sheep and goats.[1] This often involved transhumance, a form of semi-nomadism characterized by seasonal migration between different ecological zones. Pastoralist groups would move their herds from summer pastures

(known as *Yeylāgh* or *zozan*) located high in the mountains to winter pastures (*Gheshlāgh* or *garmiyan*) situated in lower valleys or adjacent plains.[2] This nomadic or semi-nomadic lifestyle, revolving around livestock herding across highlands and plains, is frequently cited as the quintessential traditional Kurdish way of life.[1] The economy of the Zagros-Taurus mountain regions was intrinsically linked to these pastoral activities.[26]

While pastoralism was dominant, agriculture was also practiced, albeit often marginally or as a supplement to herding.[1] Cultivation occurred in fertile mountain valleys and on carefully constructed terraces built on slopes.[12] Crops typically included cereals, fruits (like walnuts, figs, almonds), and vegetables, primarily grown for local consumption rather than large-scale trade.[5] In some foothill areas, tobacco was grown as a cash crop.[5] Other economic activities in mountain areas likely included the use of forest resources [11] and crafts related to pastoral products, such as weaving, which has ancient roots in the region.[26]

B. Plains Adaptations

In contrast, the plains, plateaus, and broad, fertile river valleys offered greater potential for settled agriculture, which played a more central role in the economy of Kurdish communities inhabiting these areas.[5] Key crops included cereals like wheat and barley, which formed the basis of subsistence.[5] Cotton was also cultivated, particularly on the Anatolian plains.[5] In the southern, more arid steppe zones, date palms might have been grown.[17] The development of agriculture in these regions could be quite sophisticated, involving techniques like crop rotation and potentially irrigation systems, such as the *qanāt* systems used on the Iranian plateau or simple river diversions in valleys, to maximize productivity in semi-arid environments.[12]

The location of many plains areas along historical trade routes and their proximity to major urban centers also fostered greater involvement in commerce compared to more isolated mountain communities.[6] Cities like Mosul, Diyarbakir, Erbil, and Kermanshah, often situated on the foothills or plains adjacent to Kurdish-inhabited areas, served as administrative and market hubs.[2] This proximity facilitated the exchange of goods and ideas, integrating plains communities more closely into wider regional economies. Upper Mesopotamia (Al-Jazira), for example, was recognized as an important commercial center historically.[6]

C. Interdependence and the Nomadic Bridge

Despite these differing emphases, it is crucial to recognize the historical interdependence between mountain and plain economies, largely facilitated by the practice of pastoral nomadism. The traditional nomadic cycle intrinsically linked these two environmental zones.[1] Plains and lower valleys provided essential winter grazing lands when mountain pastures were covered in snow, as well as markets for pastoral products and sources of agricultural goods (like grain). Conversely, the mountains offered lush summer pastures, cooler temperatures, and refuge.[4] This created a symbiotic relationship, where the resources of both zones were necessary for the viability of the traditional pastoral economy. Tenth-century Arab geographers like Ibn Hawqal explicitly mapped areas designated as the "Summer and winter resorts of the Kurds," highlighting this structured seasonal movement.[2]

Furthermore, the distinction between "mountain" and "plain" lifestyles was not always

absolute. Semi-nomadism involving shorter-distance movements within mountain valleys was also common.[12] Groups might shift their subsistence strategies based on political, economic, or environmental circumstances, sometimes becoming more settled and at other times more mobile.

The pervasiveness of nomadism as the 'traditional' Kurdish way of life [1], and the historical association of the term 'Kurd' itself with nomadic peoples of the Zagros-Taurus region [5], suggests that this lifestyle held more than just economic significance. The mobility inherent in transhumance, utilizing diverse and often difficult terrains, allowed Kurdish groups not only to optimize resource use across seasons [12] but also provided a practical means to evade or mitigate the control exerted by centralized states. Empires and kingdoms, often based in the more easily administered plains or urban centers, found it challenging to consistently tax, conscript, or govern highly mobile pastoral groups who could retreat into less accessible mountain strongholds.[3] Therefore, traditional Kurdish nomadism can be understood as both an effective economic adaptation to a varied environment and a socio-political strategy that historically underpinned Kurdish autonomy and resilience in the face of external pressures. The subsequent decline of nomadism, often forced by modern state policies promoting settlement and restricting cross-border movement, thus represents not merely an economic transformation but a fundamental shift in the historical relationship between many Kurdish communities and state power.

IV. Social Structures and Political Organization

A. Mountain Tribes and Autonomy

The fragmented and challenging terrain of the Zagros and Taurus mountains historically fostered decentralized social and political structures among Kurdish inhabitants. Tribal organization, based on kinship, clans, and allegiance to local chiefs or *aghas*, was particularly prominent in these regions.[1] The geography facilitated the emergence and persistence of numerous local power centers, often based in fortified mountain valleys or strongholds. Over centuries, various Kurdish principalities, emirates, and chiefdoms arose, exercising considerable autonomy, even if nominally vassals of larger empires.[1] Notable historical examples include the principalities of Bohtan, Hakari, Bahdinan, Soran, Baban, Mukri, and Ardelan, many of which survived into the 19th century.[1] The Shaddadids, Rawadids, Marwanids, and Hasanwayhids represent earlier medieval Kurdish dynasties often rooted in specific mountain regions.[3]

The mountains consistently served as both a physical and political refuge.[3] Their defensibility allowed Kurdish groups to resist incorporation into, or mount rebellions against, successive empires that sought to control the region, from ancient Assyrians to medieval Arabs and later Ottoman and Persian powers.[1] This historical experience of resistance, enabled by the mountain environment, contributed to a strong sense of local independence and distinctiveness. Even when incorporated into larger empires, such as the Ottoman arrangement where Kurdish princes guarded the eastern frontier in exchange for autonomy, a degree of self-governance was often maintained in the mountain heartlands.[34]

However, the same factors that promoted local autonomy also hindered broader political unification. The tribal structure and geographical fragmentation meant that, despite sharing language and culture, Kurdistan rarely experienced lasting political unity under a single Kurdish authority.[1] Rivalries between tribes and principalities were common. This pattern of internal division has persisted into the modern era, exemplified by the political split between the Kurdistan Democratic Party (KDP) and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan (PUK) which administered separate zones in Iraqi Kurdistan for a period.[17]

B. Plains Communities and State Interaction

Kurdish communities situated in the plains, accessible plateaus, and major river valleys generally experienced more direct and sustained interaction with centralized state powers compared to their mountain counterparts.[1] Proximity to administrative centers, garrisons, and major communication routes meant greater exposure to imperial governance, taxation systems, military recruitment, and potentially, assimilationist pressures from dominant lowland cultures (Arab, Persian, Turkish). While this could lead to greater integration into the structures and economies of ruling empires, it also implied less insulation from state control. The prevalence of settled agriculture in these areas might have also fostered somewhat different social dynamics compared to the mobile pastoral societies of the mountains. While tribal affiliations undoubtedly remained significant across Kurdistan, the requirements of settled farming and village life could potentially lead to social structures less singularly defined by the extensive kinship networks and military readiness characteristic of transhumant tribal groups.

Furthermore, the influence of urban centers located on the plains or foothills – cities like Mosul, Erbil, Kirkuk, Diyarbakir (Amed), Kermanshah, and Sanandaj (Sine) – likely had a distinct impact on nearby Kurdish populations.[2] These cities served as melting pots, centers of trade, administration, religious learning, and cultural exchange, potentially mediating the relationship between rural Kurdish communities and the wider world in ways different from the experience of more remote mountain villages.

The political history of the Kurds demonstrates a constant interplay between the autonomy fostered by the mountains and the gravitational pull of powers centered in the plains and lowlands. The mountains provided a secure base, allowing Kurdish polities to endure or re-emerge during periods when larger empires weakened.[1] Mountain Kurds often formed the core of resistance movements. Yet, the resources, strategic importance, and population centers of the plains also drew Kurds into the orbit of larger states. Sometimes this involvement reached the highest levels, as seen with Saladin, of Kurdish origin, who founded the powerful Ayyubid dynasty ruling from Cairo.[3] At other times, Kurds played crucial roles within imperial systems, such as serving as semi-autonomous guardians of the Ottoman-Persian frontier.[34] This historical dynamic suggests that Kurdish political identity and strategies have been shaped by the continuous need to navigate between leveraging mountain refuges for independence and engaging with lowland powers for influence, resources, or sheer survival. Modern Kurdish autonomy movements, such as the Kurdistan Regional Government (KRG) in Iraq, can be viewed as inheritors of this legacy, drawing strength from the historical precedent of mountain-based self-rule while simultaneously

needing to manage complex relationships with central governments located in the plains (like Baghdad).[1]

V. Cultural Expressions and Identity

A. Language and Dialects

The Kurdish language (Kurdî) belongs to the West Iranian branch of the Indo-European language family, closely related to Persian and Pashto but distinct from neighboring Semitic Arabic and Altaic Turkish.[1] It is not a single monolithic entity but rather a collection of related dialects spoken across Kurdistan.[16] The major dialect groups include Kurmanji (Northern Kurdish) and Sorani (Central Kurdish).[9] Kurmanji is the most widely spoken dialect, prevalent in Turkey, Syria, and parts of northern Iraq (north of the Greater Zab river).[9] Sorani is spoken primarily in Iraqi Kurdistan (south of the Greater Zab) and in parts of western Iran, showing greater affinity with Iranian Kurdish variants.[9] Southern Kurdish, also known as Pehlewani, encompasses dialects spoken further south in Iran and Iraq.[30] Numerous sub-dialects exist within these broader groups.[24]

While tempting to draw direct correlations between geography and dialect distribution – for instance, noting Kurmanji's prevalence in the generally more mountainous northern areas and Turkey/Syria [9] – the relationship is complex. Historical political boundaries, such as those of former Kurdish principalities [38], migration patterns, and the influence of modern state borders likely play equally, if not more, significant roles than simple topography in shaping current dialect maps. Some argue strongly against using modern state borders as the primary lens for understanding cultural or linguistic differences, suggesting that the boundaries of historical principalities offer a more relevant framework.[38] The existence of Kurdish exclaves far from the main contiguous area, such as in Khorasan in northeastern Iran [1] or communities in the Caucasus and Central Asia [16], further illustrates the complexity shaped by historical migrations and political events.

B. Religious Practices and Diversity

Religiously, the majority of Kurds are Sunni Muslims, predominantly adhering to the Shafi'i school of jurisprudence, although adherents of the Hanafi school are also significant.[9] Sufism also has a strong presence, with the Naqshbandi and Qadiriyya orders being particularly influential among many Shafi'i Kurds.[16]

However, Kurdish society exhibits considerable religious diversity beyond Sunni Islam. Significant minority communities exist, sometimes with distinct geographical concentrations. Shia Muslims are found among the Faili Kurds, historically concentrated in Baghdad and southeastern Iraq near the Iranian border, many having origins in the Luristan region of Iran.[9] Alevism is practiced by a substantial number of Kurds in Turkey, often perceived as holding less conservative social views compared to Sunni Kurds.[16] The Yezidi faith, an ancient religion indigenous to the region, has adherents primarily concentrated in the Sinjar (Shingal) mountain area of northwestern Iraq, but also historically in parts of Syria and Turkey.[9] Yarsanism (also known as Ahl-e Haqq or Kaka'i) is another distinct faith found mainly among

Kurds in the Kermanshah province of Iran and parts of Iraq.[21] Additionally, small Christian (Assyrian/Armenian) and historically Jewish communities have lived alongside Kurds in various parts of Kurdistan.[17] The historical influence of Zoroastrianism, an ancient Iranian religion, is also noted as part of the broader cultural heritage.[14]

Variations in religious conservatism exist but do not appear to follow a simple mountain versus plain dichotomy. Factors such as urban versus rural settings, regional traditions, the specific religious denomination, and the influence of state policies seem more pertinent. Some observers perceive Kurdish communities in Iraq (like Hawler, Duhok) and parts of Turkey (like Van, Diyarbakir) as generally more conservative and tribal, potentially linking this to Sunni adherence and tribal structures.[38] Conversely, some mainstream Sunni Kurds in Iranian cities like Mahabad and Sanandaj are perceived as less religiously conservative, perhaps reflecting broader Iranian societal trends, while nearby areas like Mariwan or Hawraman are seen as more conservative.[38] Shia Kurds in Iran (Kermanshah region) are described as conservative, but perhaps in a different mode than Sunni religious conservatism.[38] Alevi Kurds in Turkey are often cited as the least religiously conservative group.[38] Furthermore, some accounts suggest that Kurdish communities in Iran, while perhaps less orthodox in formal Islamic practice (e.g., less strict veiling, acceptance of women dancing/singing publicly), might simultaneously retain more traditional social customs (e.g., higher fertility, female illiteracy, underage marriage, honour killings, though the latter is tragically not exclusive to Kurds but found in backward rural areas across the Middle East [24]) compared to urban Persian populations.[38] This highlights the complexity and localized nature of cultural and religious expressions.

C. Material Culture and Traditions

Differences in traditional material culture likely existed, reflecting adaptations to local environments and lifestyles. Traditional dress, for example, might vary based on climate and activity. One description of traditional women's attire in Iraqi Kurdistan (a region encompassing mountains and valleys) includes loose trousers, a long loose overdress (sometimes layered for warmth), a vest, and a headscarf.[13] Housing materials and styles could also differ, with stone perhaps being more common for construction in mountainous areas where it is readily available, while sun-dried mud bricks might be used more extensively in the plains (*this is implied by general regional patterns, though not explicitly detailed for Kurds in the provided text*). Crafts would also reflect available resources, with pastoral communities perhaps focusing more on wool and leather work, while agricultural communities might develop different artisanal traditions.

Despite regional variations in dialect, religious practice, and potentially material culture, strong unifying cultural elements exist across Kurdistan. The celebration of Newroz, the ancient Iranian New Year celebrated on the vernal equinox, is a quintessential Kurdish festival observed by Kurds across all regions and religious backgrounds, symbolizing renewal and resistance.[38] Shared folklore, music, dance traditions, and a collective historical consciousness also contribute to a sense of common Kurdish identity.

D. The Mountain as Symbol

Beyond the tangible impacts on livelihood and politics, the mountains hold profound symbolic significance within Kurdish culture and collective identity. They are consistently evoked as the

heartland, the ultimate refuge, and a symbol of Kurdish resilience, freedom, and endurance.[3] This symbolism is powerfully encapsulated in the widely known Kurdish proverb: "Kurds have no friends but the mountains" (*Kurdistan çiya nebin hevalê me nînin*).[4]

This potent symbolism is deeply rooted in the historical experience of the Kurdish people. For millennia, the rugged Zagros and Taurus ranges have provided sanctuary from invading armies, imperial domination, and assimilationist pressures exerted by surrounding lowland powers.[3] From the Carduchoi resisting Xenophon's Ten Thousand [25] to tribes resisting Arab conquests [25] and later Ottoman and Persian control, the mountains facilitated survival and the preservation of a distinct identity.[29] Ancient Mesopotamian records refer to mountain tribes like the Guti [1], and classical sources mention groups like the Cyrtii or Carduchoi in these upland regions, suggesting a long association between proto-Kurdish peoples and this terrain.[5]

The power of the mountain symbol thus stems from its grounding in tangible historical reality. The repeated experience of seeking and finding safety in the highlands, while facing persecution or marginalization in the plains or under state control, has cemented the mountains' place in Kurdish collective memory. This symbolism endures even as a large proportion of Kurds today live in plains, cities across the Middle East, or in diaspora communities worldwide.[5] It functions as a unifying trope, evoking shared narratives of struggle, autonomy, and perseverance that resonate across geographical and political divides. This constructed element of identity, born from historical experience but transcending literal geography, is fundamental to understanding Kurdish nationalism and the enduring aspiration for self-determination, drawing strength from the image of the steadfast, protective mountains.

VI. Historical Trajectories and Modern Implications

A. Impact of Modern Borders and Displacement

The 20th century brought profound changes that significantly altered traditional Kurdish life and often blurred the distinctions rooted in mountain versus plain environments. A pivotal moment was the dissolution of the Ottoman Empire after World War I. Despite initial provisions for Kurdish autonomy or statehood in the 1920 Treaty of Sèvres, this was ultimately superseded by the 1923 Treaty of Lausanne, which finalized the borders of modern Turkey and allocated Kurdish-inhabited lands among Turkey, Iraq, Syria (under French mandate), and Iran.[2]

This partition had devastating consequences. It fragmented the contiguous Kurdish region with artificial state borders, disrupting centuries-old patterns of social interaction, economic exchange, and nomadic movement between highlands and lowlands.[7] Transhumant pastoralists, whose traditional routes often crossed newly established international boundaries, faced severe restrictions. Furthermore, the new nation-states frequently adopted policies aimed at suppressing Kurdish identity and forcibly integrating or assimilating Kurdish populations. In Turkey, this involved the official denial of Kurdish identity, referring to Kurds as "Mountain Turks," banning the Kurdish language in public life and education for decades, and

violently suppressing numerous uprisings.[5] In Iraq, Kurds faced periods of intense repression, including the Ba'athist regime's Anfal campaign, which involved mass killings and displacement, and systematic Arabization policies aimed at changing the demographics of oil-rich areas like Kirkuk.[3] In Syria, a significant portion of the Kurdish population was stripped of citizenship in the 1960s, rendered stateless, and faced discrimination.[8] Iran also suppressed Kurdish political aspirations and cultural expression, particularly after the fall of the short-lived Republic of Mahabad in 1946.[2]

These state policies often involved forced sedentarization of nomadic groups [20], destruction of villages (particularly in rural and mountainous areas targeted during counter-insurgency operations, e.g., in Turkey [5]), and mass displacement. Millions of Kurds were forced from their ancestral homes, leading to a dramatic increase in urbanization.[5] Cities like Diyarbakir, Van, and Mersin in Turkey, and the major cities of Iraqi Kurdistan (Erbil, Sulaymaniyah) and Iranian Kurdistan (Kermanshah, Sanandaj) saw massive influxes of displaced rural populations.[5] This rapid, often traumatic, urbanization created new social landscapes, concentrating diverse Kurdish groups in urban settings while severing ties to traditional livelihoods and village life, whether in the mountains or plains.

B. Contemporary Socio-Economic Differences

The legacy of these historical trajectories continues to shape contemporary Kurdish society, though the correlation between traditional geographical background (mountain vs. plain) and current socio-economic status is complex and often overshadowed by modern political factors. Mountainous regions, often historically marginalized and targeted during conflicts, may still face greater challenges in terms of infrastructure development, access to education and healthcare, and economic opportunities beyond traditional agriculture or pastoralism.[21] Rural areas in general, which include many mountain communities, sometimes exhibit higher rates of traditional social practices, which can include both positive aspects of community solidarity and negative aspects like lower female literacy or harmful practices like honour killings.[24]

However, plains areas, while potentially offering more diverse economic avenues due to better agricultural land or proximity to urban centers, have also been sites of intense conflict over resources (like oil in Kirkuk [5]) and subjected to policies like Arabization.[17] Demographic data from a plains city like Dehgolan in Iranian Kurdistan shows a mixed picture: significant levels of illiteracy, especially among women, coexist with university education attainment; there is widespread mobile phone access but lower internet access; and the population spans the full range of wealth indices from poorest to wealthiest.[36] This suggests that factors beyond simple geography, such as gender, education level, state development policies, and individual circumstances, are crucial determinants of socio-economic status today.

Perhaps the most significant factor differentiating the contemporary experience of Kurds is their political status within the respective nation-states. The establishment of the semi-autonomous Kurdistan Region in Iraq (KRG) since 1991-1992, formally recognized in the 2005 Iraqi constitution, has created a distinct political and economic reality for Kurds living there, regardless of whether they inhabit the mountainous north or the plains around Erbil

and Sulaymaniyah.[1] While facing its own internal divisions and external pressures, the KRG allows for a degree of self-governance, official use of the Kurdish language, and control over local resources not available to Kurds in Turkey, Iran, or Syria, where struggles for cultural rights and autonomy continue under varying degrees of repression.[2] Similarly, the emergence of the Autonomous Administration of North and East Syria (Rojava) during the Syrian Civil War created another distinct zone of de facto Kurdish self-rule, albeit unrecognized internationally and under constant threat.[2]

These modern political realities, coupled with the homogenizing impacts of displacement, urbanization, and integration into national (or global) economies and media landscapes, have significantly complicated the traditional distinctions based purely on mountain versus plain geography. While the historical legacy of these environments remains deeply embedded in Kurdish culture, memory, and identity, contemporary Kurdish life is increasingly shaped by shared experiences of statelessness, conflict, political mobilization, and the specific opportunities and constraints imposed by the modern nation-state system. The fight against common enemies, such as ISIS, also forged new bonds and shared experiences across geographical and political lines within Kurdistan.[22] Thus, the mountain/plain dichotomy, while crucial for understanding the historical roots of Kurdish diversity, may be less predictive of current socio-economic conditions or political orientations than factors like citizenship, residence in an autonomous region versus a centralized state, urban versus rural location, and access to modern education and global connections.

VII. Conclusion

The historical experiences of Kurdish populations inhabiting the mountainous regions of Kurdistan compared to those living in the adjacent plains and lowlands reveal distinct patterns shaped significantly by geography. Mountain environments, primarily the Zagros and Taurus ranges, fostered a predominance of pastoral nomadism and transhumance, utilizing seasonal pastures and rugged terrain that also served as a refuge. This environment contributed to the development of strong tribal structures, a history of local autonomy manifest in various principalities, and a recurring role as centers of resistance against external powers. Conversely, the plains, plateaus, and fertile river valleys facilitated more settled agricultural practices and placed communities in closer proximity to, and interaction with, centralized state administrations and trade routes.

However, this distinction should not be viewed as a rigid binary. The traditional practice of nomadic pastoralism inherently linked mountain and plain ecosystems and economies, creating interdependence rather than complete separation. Furthermore, the term "Kurd" itself has historical associations with the nomadic peoples of these upland regions, suggesting mobility was a defining characteristic that transcended a fixed location in either environment. The mountains, in particular, acquired profound symbolic meaning, representing refuge, resilience, and the core of the Kurdish homeland – a symbolism rooted in historical reality but extending across the Kurdish collective consciousness.

The profound political and social upheavals of the 20th and 21st centuries – notably the imposition of state borders fragmenting Kurdistan, decades of state-sponsored repression,

assimilation policies, forced displacement, and rapid urbanization – have significantly impacted and often blurred these traditional distinctions. While the historical legacy of mountain versus plain life provides essential context for understanding the diversity within Kurdish society, contemporary realities are increasingly shaped by shared experiences of statelessness, conflict, varying degrees of political autonomy (or lack thereof), and integration into modern nation-states.

The following table summarizes some key traditional distinctions:

Table 1: Comparative Overview of Traditional Kurdish Life: Mountain vs. Plain Environments

Feature	Mountain Kurds (Traditional)	Plains Kurds (Traditional)
Primary Geographic Zone	Zagros & Taurus mountain ranges, high plateaus	Mesopotamian plains (Al-Jazira), Anatolian foothills, river valleys
Dominant Traditional Livelihood	Pastoral nomadism/transhumance (sheep, goats), supplementary agriculture	Settled agriculture (cereals, cotton), trade participation
Settlement Pattern	Seasonal migration between pastures, smaller villages/hamlets	More permanent villages, proximity to towns/cities
Typical Political Structure	Tribal chiefdoms/emirates, relative local autonomy	Greater integration into regional/imperial state systems
Relationship w/ External Powers	Often resistance, refuge, buffer zone role	More direct interaction, administration, taxation, conflict
Key Environmental Factors	Rugged terrain, forests, seasonal pastures, defensibility	Arable land, river access, trade route proximity, accessibility

In conclusion, while the distinct environments of mountains and plains undeniably shaped different historical trajectories and adaptations for Kurdish communities, Kurdish identity itself is a complex tapestry woven from threads of shared language, diverse cultural practices, common historical narratives (particularly of resistance and the quest for autonomy), and the collective experience of being a large stateless nation divided across multiple countries. The geographical heritage remains a vital part of this identity, but it interacts dynamically with the powerful forces of modern history and politics.

VIII. Works Cited

1 Encyclopaedia Britannica. "Kurdistan". britannica.com. URL: https://www.britannica.com/place/Kurdistan

7 The Kurdish Project. "Kurdistan Map". thekurdishproject.org. URL:

https://thekurdishproject.org/kurdistan-map/
11 Encyclopaedia Britannica. "Kordestān". britannica.com. URL:
https://www.britannica.com/place/Kordestan
2 Wikipedia. "Kurdistan". en.wikipedia.org. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdistan
17 Wikipedia. "Kurdistan Region". en.wikipedia.org. URL:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdistan_Region
15 Civilsdaily. "Places in news: Kurdistan Region". civilsdaily.com. URL:
https://www.civilsdaily.com/news/places-in-news-kurdistan-region/
9 Minority Rights Group International. "Iraq: Kurds". minorityrights.org. URL:
https://minorityrights.org/communities/kurds-3/
3 Wikipedia. "Kurds in Iraq". en.wikipedia.org. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds_in_Iraq
39 Quora. "What is the reason for the high population of Kurds in Iran compared to other countries?". quora.com. URL:
https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-reason-for-the-high-population-of-Kurds-in-Iran-compared-to-other-countries
8 Encyclopaedia Britannica. "Kurd". britannica.com. URL:
https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kurd
18 Wikipedia. "Zagros Mountains". en.wikipedia.org. URL:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zagros_Mountains
20 Ghafouri-Fard, S., et al. "HLA Class I variation in the populations of the Zagros mountains". PubMed Central (PMC). URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5063635/
22 The Wire. "From the Sumerians to the Present Day: The 5,000-Year History of Kurds in West Asia". thewire.in. URL:
https://m.thewire.in/article/rights/struggle-of-kurdhish-people-turkey-west-asia
36 Moradpour, F., et al. "Cohort Profile: The Dehgolan Prospective Cohort Study (DehPCS): a Kurdish cohort in the west of Iran". PubMed Central (PMC). URL:
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8850945/
4 Kurdistan Tribune. "Kurds have no friends but the mountains". kurdistantribune.com. URL:
https://kurdistantribune.com/kurds-have-no-friends-but-the-mountains/
10 Nationalia. "Kurdistan". nationalia.info. URL: https://www.nationalia.info/profile/27/kurdistan
37 Wikipedia. "Kurds in Syria". en.wikipedia.org. URL:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds_in_Syria
23 Minority Rights Group International. "Syria: Kurds". minorityrights.org. URL:
https://minorityrights.org/communities/kurds-5/
5 Minority Rights Group International. "World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples - Kurds". minorityrights.org. URL: https://minorityrights.org/resources/the-kurds/
16 Wikipedia. "Kurds". en.wikipedia.org. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds
27 Voice of America (VOA News). "Who Are the Kurds?". voanews.com. URL:
https://www.voanews.com/a/middle-east_who-are-kurds/6177835.html
26 Kurds of Illinois. "Origin of the Kurds". kurdsofillinois.org. URL:
https://www.kurdsofillinois.org/origin-of-the-kurds/
6 Wikipedia. "Upper Mesopotamia". en.wikipedia.org. URL:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Upper_Mesopotamia

40 Reddit. "Why do Kurds think they have a right to establish their own country...". reddit.com/r/kurdistan. URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/kurdistan/comments/af5f8d/why_do_kurds_think_they_have_a_right_to_establish/
31 Wikipedia. "Origin of the Kurds". en.wikipedia.org. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Origin_of_the_Kurds
34 Kurdish Community of the DC Area. "Kurds: Origins". dckurd.org. URL: https://dckurd.org/kurds/
32 Wikipedia. "History of the Kurds". en.wikipedia.org. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_Kurds
35 New Lines Magazine. "The Untold History of Turkish-Kurdish Alliances". newlinesmag.com. URL: https://newlinesmag.com/essays/the-untold-history-of-turkish-kurdish-alliances/
29 The Kurdish Project. "Kurdish History". thekurdishproject.org. URL: https://thekurdishproject.org/history-and-culture/kurdish-history/
14 Visa pour l'Image. "Kurdistan. People with No Rights, but Anger". visapourlimage.com. URL: https://www.visapourlimage.com/en/festival/exhibitions/kurdistan-la-colere-d-un-peuple-sans-droits
30 Encyclopaedia Britannica. "Kurdish language". britannica.com. URL: https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kurdish-language
33 Scribd (from Encyclopaedia Iranica). "KURDISH TRIBES - Encyclopaedia Iranica". scribd.com. URL: https://www.scribd.com/document/553080925/KURDISH-TRIBES-Encyclopaedia-Iranica
12 Encyclopaedia Iranica. "GEOGRAPHY ii. Human geography of Persia". iranicaonline.org. URL: https://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/geography-ii/
28 Encyclopaedia Iranica. "GEOGRAPHY iii. Political geography of Persia". iranicaonline.org. URL: https://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/geography-iii/
21 Kurdish People. "Rojhilat". kurdishpeople.org. URL: https://kurdishpeople.org/rojhilat/
19 Encyclopaedia Iranica. "KERMANSHAH i. Geography". iranicaonline.org (archived link). URL: http://132.148.99.99/articles/kermanshah-01-geography-archived
13 Cultural Orientation Resource Center. "Iraqi Kurds Culture Profile". culturalorientation.net. URL: http://www.culturalorientation.net/content/download/2109/12162/version/1/file/Iraqi+Kurds+Culture+Profile.pdf
25 Kurdistan Memory Programme. "History of the Kurds". kurdistanmemoryprogramme.com. URL: https://kurdistanmemoryprogramme.com/history-of-the-kurds/
38 Reddit. "What is the cultural difference between the Kurds?". reddit.com/r/kurdistan. URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/kurdistan/comments/i29pc6/what_is_the_cultural_difference_between_the_kurds/
24 Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE). "The cultural situation of the Kurds (Report)". pace.coe.int. URL: https://pace.coe.int/files/11316/html

Works cited

1. Kurdistan | History, Religion, Map, & Facts | Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Kurdistan
2. Kurdistan - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdistan
3. Kurds in Iraq - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds_in_Iraq
4. “Kurds Have No Friends But The Mountains” | The Kurdistan Tribune, accessed May 5, 2025, https://kurdistantribune.com/kurds-have-no-friends-but-the-mountains/
5. The Kurds - Minority Rights Group, accessed May 5, 2025, https://minorityrights.org/resources/the-kurds/
6. Upper Mesopotamia - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Upper_Mesopotamia
7. Kurdistan Map - The Kurdish Project, accessed May 5, 2025, https://thekurdishproject.org/kurdistan-map/
8. Kurd | Syria, Language, Map, Women, Turkey, Iraq, Iran, History, & Culture | Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kurd
9. Kurds in Iraq - Minority Rights Group, accessed May 5, 2025, https://minorityrights.org/communities/kurds-3/
10. Kurdistan - Nationalia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.nationalia.info/profile/27/kurdistan
11. Kordestān | History, Culture & Geography - Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Kordestan
12. GEOGRAPHY ii. Human geography - Encyclopaedia Iranica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/geography-ii/
13. Iraqi Kurds - Cultural Orientation Resource Center, accessed May 5, 2025, http://www.culturalorientation.net/content/download/2109/12162/version/1/file/Iraqi+Kurds+Culture+Profile.pdf
14. Kurdistan. People with No Rights, but Anger - Visa pour l'image, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.visapourlimage.com/en/festival/exhibitions/kurdistan-la-colere-d-un-peuple-sans-droits
15. Places in news: Kurdistan Region - CivilsDaily, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.civilsdaily.com/news/places-in-news-kurdistan-region/
16. Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds
17. Kurdistan Region - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdistan_Region
18. Zagros Mountains - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zagros_Mountains
19. KERMANSHAH i. Geography – Encyclopaedia Iranica, accessed May 5, 2025, http://132.148.99.99/articles/kermanshah-01-geography-archived
20. HLA class I variation in Iranian Lur and Kurd populations: High Haplotype and Allotype Diversity with an Abundance of KIR Ligands - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed May 5, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5063635/
21. Rojhilat - KurdishPeople.Org, accessed May 5, 2025,

https://kurdishpeople.org/rojhilat/
22. How the Kurdish People are Striving for Their Place in a New, Democratic West Asia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://m.thewire.in/article/rights/struggle-of-kurdhish-people-turkey-west-asia
23. Kurds in Syria - Minority Rights Group, accessed May 5, 2025, https://minorityrights.org/communities/kurds-5/
24. The cultural situation of the Kurds - Parliamentary Assembly - The Council of Europe, accessed May 5, 2025, https://pace.coe.int/files/11316/html
25. History of the Kurds - The Kurdistan Memory Programme, accessed May 5, 2025, https://kurdistanmemoryprogramme.com/history-of-the-kurds/
26. Origin of The Kurds - Kurdish Cultural Center of Illinois, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.kurdsofillinois.org/origin-of-the-kurds/
27. Who Are the Kurds? - VOA, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.voanews.com/a/middle-east_who-are-kurds/6177835.html
28. GEOGRAPHY iii. Political Geography - Encyclopaedia Iranica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/geography-iii/
29. Learn About Kurdish History, accessed May 5, 2025, https://thekurdishproject.org/history-and-culture/kurdish-history/
30. Kurdish Dialects, Writing System & Grammar - Languages - Britannica, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kurdish-language
31. Origin of the Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Origin_of_the_Kurds
32. History of the Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_Kurds
33. KURDISH TRIBES - Encyclopaedia Iranica | PDF | Kurds | Iran - Scribd, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/553080925/KURDISH-TRIBES-Encyclopaedia-Iranica
34. KURDS | Washington Kurdish Institute, accessed May 5, 2025, https://dckurd.org/kurds/
35. The Untold History of Turkish-Kurdish Alliances - New Lines Magazine, accessed May 5, 2025, https://newlinesmag.com/essays/the-untold-history-of-turkish-kurdish-alliances/
36. The Dehgolan Prospective Cohort Study (DehPCS) on non-communicable diseases in a Kurdish community in the west of Iran - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed May 5, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8850945/
37. Kurds in Syria - Wikipedia, accessed May 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds_in_Syria
38. What is the cultural difference between the Kurds living in all in Turkey, Iran, Iraq and Syria? : r/kurdistan - Reddit, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/kurdistan/comments/i29pc6/what_is_the_cultural_difference_between_the_kurds/
39. What is the reason for the high population of Kurds in Iran compared to other countries?, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-reason-for-the-high-population-of-Kurds-i

n-Iran-compared-to-other-countries
40. Why do Kurds think they have a right to establish their own country in the Northern Mesopotamian area when the Assyrians, the indigenous people of this land, still exist and require their own country in their own homeland? : r/kurdistan - Reddit, accessed May 5, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/kurdistan/comments/af5f8d/why_do_kurds_think_they_have_a_right_to_establish/